From the Inside Out: How Indiana's Courts Work	Name:
Dr. Elizabeth R. Osborn—Summer 2010 Group 2: <i>Tharp v. State</i>	
Library Research Assig	gnment
Objective: The goal of this assignment is to familiarize stude. This assignment has been created with resources specifically remock oral argument and the final written assignment.	
Directions: Locate each item indicated below in the Indiana law clerks are available to help you find each item. You may be	
The resources identified in each category will provide valuable final opinion. Therefore, in addition to answering the question information once it is located. You may work as a group. Once you may ask the librarian to make a copy for each member of y	s below, you will want copies of some of the the entire assignment has been completed,
Legal Dictionaries: Black's Law Dictionary Briefly define each term. Then answer supplemental questions	;.
Appellee:	
*Who is the appellee in <i>Tharp v. State</i> ?Make sure you know the correct pronunciation of this ter Appellant:	m for oral argument.
*Who is the appellant in <i>Tharp v. State?</i> Make sure you know the correct pronunciation of this ter Invasion of Privacy:	
Probation:	
Protective Order:	
Ex parte:	
Mens Rea:	

From the Inside Out: How Indiana's Courts Work Dr. Elizabeth R. Osborn—Summer 2010 Group 2: <i>Tharp v. State</i>	Name:
Return of service:	
Actual notice:	
Inquiry notice:	
Moot case:	
Precedent:	
Adjudication:	
Sufficient evidence:	
Legal Journals, Encyclopedias & Other Second Res Gestae Vol. 39 In the February 1996 issue, locate the article <i>Petitions to pages 8-10, 12</i> . Define the term "transfer" as explained	Transfer: New Rules, New Procedures on
A petition to transfer a case to the Indiana Supreme the federal level? How is transfer different from th	
Who wrote this article?	

From the Inside Out: How Indiana's Courts Work Dr. Elizabeth R. Osborn—Summer 2010 Group 2: <i>Tharp v. State</i>	Name:
Court Rules	
Indiana Practice	
Locate Trial Rule 4(A) in Volume I.	
Trial Rule 4 generally deals with PROCESS , while Ru	ule 4(A) explains the procedure by
which "the court acquires	
who under these rules	or in the , is
with or enters an	
of the under any other law.	,,
The AUTHOR'S COMMENTS section which follows o explanation and analysis of the rule. Locate and rearregarding service of process from paragraph 2 of co	d comment 4.1. Restate the basic tenet
2010 Indiana Rules of Court	
Locate Appellate Rule 57(H). [Hint: you are looking	for a green softhound book on the
shelf located behind <u>Indiana Practice</u> . Rule 57(H) and	
governing the Supreme Court's decision whether to	
S	
List the 6 reasons transfer may be granted:	
(1)	
(2)	
(3)	
(4)	
(5)	
(6)	
Place a star * next to the reason(s) for transf	
State. (See APPELLEE'S PETITION FOR TRANSFE	R)
Statutes and Codes	
Burns Indiana Statutes Annotated Code Edition	
Hint: the code is organized as follows: Title-Article-Chapter	-Section]
Indiana Code 34-26-5-91 can be found on what page	-
This chapter is known by what name?	
Indiana Code 35-46-1-15.1 codifies what crime? _	
In the NOTES TO DECISIONS for Indiana Code 35-46	
listed under "Sufficient Evidence"?	•

¹The General Assembly amended Ind. Code § 34-26-5-9 effective July 1, 2009. The updated code can be found in the back of <u>Burns</u> in what is known as the "pocket part." The courts must apply the code that was in effect at the time of the incident. Tharp's arrest occurred in February, 2009. Thus, to prevent an *ex post facto* violation, the code as found in the main 2008 volume is applied to these facts.

rom the Inside Out: How Indiana's Courts Work Pr. Elizabeth R. Osborn—Summer 2010 Proup 2: Tharp v. State
ndiana Cases North East Reporter, 2d Series These cases can also be found in the green <u>Indiana Cases</u> volumes.)
Disney v. State, 441 N.E.2d 489 What court issued this opinion?
Dixon v. State, 869 N.E.2d 516 Vhat year was this case decided?
Hendricks v. State, 649 N.E. 2d 1050 (Ind. Ct. App. 1995). What are the 2 main issues in this case?
Jucas v. State, 501 N.E.2d 480 (Ind. Ct. App. 1986). How many pages long is this opinion?
AcHenry v. State, 820 N.E.2d 124 (Ind. 2005). Who is the author of this opinion?
Other State Cases Pacific Reporter, 2d Series State v. Medina, 824 P.2d 106 (Haw. 1992). The Supreme Court of Hawaii affirmed the dismissal of Defendant's protective order riolation charge because the order was never what?
South Eastern Reporter, 2d Series Asiu Tsai v. Com., 659 S.E.2d 594 (Va. Ct. App. 2008). This Court of Appeals held evidence was to support Defendant's conviction of iolating a protective order.
Federal Cases United States Reports Mathews V. Eldridge, 424 U.S. 319 (1976). On what date was this case argued?